1 2 3 4 5 6	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney JOANNE HOEPER, State Bar #114961 Chief Trial Deputy SCOTT D. WIENER, State Bar #189266 Deputy City Attorney Fox Plaza 1390 Market Street, 6 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94102-5408 Telephone: (415) 554-4283 Facsimile: (415) 554-3837	
7 8	Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
9	LINITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	ALEXIS BAUTISTA, an individual,	Case No. C07-5434 CW
12	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS' SEPARATE CASE
13	vs.	MANAGEMENT STATEMENT (PRO PER CASE)
14	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN	Date: June 24, 2008
15	FRANCISCO, SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT, SAN	Time: 2:00 p.m. Hon. Claudia Wilken
16	FRANCISCO POLICE OFFICER WILLIAMS, SAN FRANCISCO POLICE	
17	SERGEANT ESPINOZA, SAN FRANCISCO POLICE OFFICER LUM,	
18	DOES 1 to 20, Inclusive,	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

\_\_

27

28

Defendants submit this Case Management Statement in anticipation of the June 24, 2008, Case Management Conference. Because Plaintiff is pro per, the parties are not submitting a joint statement.

## 1. <u>Jurisdiction and Service</u>

The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to its federal question jurisdiction and supplemental jurisdiction. All Defendants have appeared.

#### 2. Facts

In the late evening of October 5, 2006, San Francisco police officers were patrolling the 400 block of Townsend Street, an area known for assaults, drug use, and prostitution. Officers observed a vehicle whose rear window was fogged up. Inside the vehicle, there appeared to be a male figure on top of another person in the back seat of the vehicle. Because the vehicle was in an isolated high-crime area, the officers decided to investigate.

The officers approached the vehicle and shined a flashlight in, at which point they observed a male figure on top of a woman. When the man saw the officers, he got off of the woman. The officers motioned to the man to open the car door, which he did. The officers asked the two for identification. The man, later identified as Rene Gallardo, complied. The woman, later identified as Plaintiff Alexis Bautista, refused and immediately began to speak in an agitated and belligerent manner. Gallardo attempted to calm Plaintiff down, but to no avail.

Plaintiff suddenly exited the vehicle without being asked and then dove back into the vehicle to avoid the officers. The officers decided to detain Plaintiff, given her resistive and belligerent behavior during this investigative stop. Plaintiff was not compliant with the officers' instructions and was physically resistive. Plaintiff kept her arms crossed in order to deny the officers access to them. The officers continued to attempt to extract Plaintiff from the car, but she continued to resist. The officers prepared to use pepper spray to obtain compliance, but the pepper spray was never used. Gallardo pled with Plaintiff to obey the officers instructions. The officers eventually were able to pull Plaintiff out of the car. Because of her resistance in being taken out of the car, she fell down on her knee and scraped it when she was extracted. Once out of the car, Plaintiff continued to avoid being handcuffed, but the officers were eventually were able to cuff her.

28

26

27

order.

Defendants may move for summary judgment or partial summary judgment.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

\_\_

2324

25

26

27

28

Defendants may move to stay *Monell* discovery and to bifurcate *Monell* issues from the underlying liability issues.

## 5. Amendment of Pleadings

Defendants do not anticipate amendments to the pleadings.

#### 6. Evidence Preservation

Defendants have preserved evidence.

#### 7. Disclosures

Defendants served initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26. Plaintiff has not provided initial disclosures. Defendants moved to compel Plaintiff to make initial disclosures, and the Court set a deadline for her to do so. Plaintiff has missed the deadline and still has not provided initial disclosures.

# 8. <u>Discovery</u>

Defendants took the deposition of witness Rene Gallardo. Plaintiff did not appear for her own deposition. Plaintiff has not responded to written discovery. The Court issued an order setting a deadline for her to do so. Plaintiff has missed the deadline and still has not responded.

#### 9. Class Actions

This case is not a class action.

#### 10. Related Cases

Defendants are unaware of related cases.

#### 11. Relief

Plaintiff is seeking damages, punitive damages, attorneys fees, and costs. Defendants are seeking attorneys fees and costs.

#### 12. <u>Settlement/ADR</u>

The parties agreed to participate in mediation. The mediator, however, was unable to obtain cooperation from Plaintiff, and no mediation has occurred.

## 13. Consent to Magistrate Judge for all Purposes

Defendants do not consent to trial of this case by a United States Magistrate Judge.

1	14. Other References	
2	The case is not suitable for such a reference.	
3	15. <u>Narrowing of Issues</u>	
4	Defendants are unable to evaluate at this point whether there are issues that can be narrowed	
5	16. <u>Expedited Schedule</u>	
6	This case is not suitable for an expedited schedule.	
7	17. <u>Scheduling</u>	
8	The Court set a case management schedule.	
9	18. <u>Trial</u>	
10	The Court set a trial date of April 13, 2009.	
11	19. <u>Disclosure of Non-Party Interested Entities or Persons</u>	
12	Defendants are unaware of nonparties with such an interest in this lawsuit.	
13		
14	Dated: June 16, 2008	
15	DENNIS J. HERRERA	
16	City Attorney JOANNE HOEPER	
17	Chief Trial Deputy	
18	SCOTT D. WIENER Deputy City Attorney	
19	-/s/- Scott D. Wiener	
20	By:	
21	SCOTT D. WIENER Attorneys for Defendant	
22	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		